

Proposal Title	WADALBA, 165 Louisiana Ro Precinct 3A)	ad, Lot 51 DP 1195704 (part of	Wadalba East Land Release Area -	
Proposal Summary ;	of Precinct 3A Wadalba East	Land Release Area, from part E	yong Shire Structure Plan as part 2 Environmental Conservation and	
	part RU6 Transition, to part E2 Environmental Conservation, part R2 Low Density Residentia and part SP2 Infrastructure.			
PP Number :	PP_2016_CCOAS_001_00	Dop File No :	16/13524	
oposal Details				
Date Planning Proposal Received :	06-Oct-2016	LGA covered :	Central Coast	
Region :	Hunter	RPA :	Central Coast Council	
State Electorate :	WYONG	Section of the Act :	55 - Planning Proposal	
LEP Type :	Spot Rezoning			
ocation Details				
Street : 16	5 Louisiana Road			
Suburb : Wa	adalba City :		Postcode :	
Land Parcel : Lo	t 51 DP 1195704			
DoP Planning Offi	cer Contact Details			
Contact Name :	Louise Starkey			
Contact Number :	0243485000			
Contact Email :	Louise.Starkey@planning.nsw.	.gov.au		
RPA Contact Deta	ils			
Contact Name :	Peter Kavanagh			
Contact Number :	0243505537			
Contact Email :	Peter.Kavanagh@centralcoast.	nsw.gov.au		
DoP Project Mana	ger Contact Details			
Contact Name :				
Contact Number :				
Contact Email :				
Land Release Data	3			
Growth Centre		Release Area Name :	Other	
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :	Central Coast Regional Strategy	Consistent with Strategy :	Yes	

ea - Precinct 3A)			
MDP Number :	1071 - 471	Date of Release :	
Area of Release (Ha)	1.76	Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	Residential
No. of Lots :	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	19
Gross Floor Area :	0	No of Jobs Created :	0
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes		
If No, comment :			
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	Νο	×.	
If Yes, comment :			
Supporting notes			
Internal Supporting Notes :	The planning proposal wa	as considered adequate for asses	sment on 18 October 2016.
External Supporting Notes :	low density residential su whilst conserving an env intended dedication of th	nd from RU6 Transition to R2 Low ubdivision of the land to yield appr ironmental and wildlife corridor. T e western environmental corridor rastructure to Council, facilitated b	roximately 19 residential lots, he proposal identifies the and the north-eastern corner of
equacy Assessmen			
Statement of the ob			
Comment :	ojectives provided? Yes The statement is adeq	uata	
	isions provided - s55(		
Is an explanation of pro	vvisions provided? Yes		
Comment :	proposed SP2 Infrastru proposal for dedication updated to include cor	proposal should be updated to in ucture zone for the north-eastern p n to Council as a future local acce nsideration of alternate zones (RE <sup>2</sup> nes in light of LEP Practice Note F	portion of the site identified in the ss road. The proposal should be
	Additional manning is	required in order to indicate the si	ito as Urhan Roloaso Aroa in

The proposed low density residential zone and 450sqm minimum lot size for the residential release area is considered to be consistent with an endorsed strategy and consistent with the adjoining existing urban area to the west of the site. The proposed environmental conservation zone and proposed 40Ha minimum lot size is also considered

adequate for the preservation of the environmental corridor and the Wadalba Wildlife Corridor. Justification - s55 (2)(c) a) Has Council's strategy been agreed to by the Director General? Yes b) S.117 directions identified by RPA : **1.2 Rural Zones 1.3 Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries** \* May need the Director General's agreement 2.1 Environment Protection Zones 2.3 Heritage Conservation 2.4 Recreation Vehicle Areas **3.1 Residential Zones** 3.2 Caravan Parks and Manufactured Home Estates 3.3 Home Occupations 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport 4.2 Mine Subsidence and Unstable Land 4.3 Flood Prone Land 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection 5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements 6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes 6.3 Site Specific Provisions Is the Director General's agreement required? Yes c) Consistent with Standard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006 : Yes d) Which SEPPs have the RPA identified? SEPP No 55-Remediation of Land SEPP (Building Sustainability Index: BASIX) 2004 SEPP (Exempt and Complying Development Codes) 2008 SEPP (Housing for Seniors or People with a Disability) 2004 SEPP (Affordable Rental Housing) 2009 S117 Direction 5.10 Implementation of Regional Plans e) List any other matters that need to be considered : Have inconsistencies with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? No Consistency with Section 117 Directions and State Environmental Policies are discussed If No, explain : in the assessment section of this report. Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d) Is mapping provided? Yes Comment : Maps are provided and considered adequate for public exhibition. However, the maps require updating to reflect outcomes of future reconsideration of the need for the infrastructure zone and need to identify the land as Urban Release Area under the LEP This should be updated prior to community consultation. Community consultation - s55(2)(e) Has community consultation been proposed? Yes Council has identified that the planning proposal will be exhibited for a period of 28 Comment : days. The proposed exhibition period is supported. Additional Director General's requirements Are there any additional Director General's requirements? Yes **PROJECT TIMELINE:** If Yes, reasons : Council's timeline anticipates the planning proposal will be submitted to the Department

rea - Precinct 3A)	
	for finalisation in November 2017. It is recommended that the Gateway determination provide a time frame for completion of 12 months. This timeframe is considered sufficient for Council to finalise the amending plan.
	DELEGATED AUTHORISATION: Council has accepted plan-making delegations for planning proposals and has
	requested authorisation to exercise delegation to make the plan.
	The proposal identifies that the north-eastern triangular portion of the site will be dedicated to Council for the purpose of local road infrastructure and the western side of the site as an environmental corridor. The proposal identifies that the dedication of land will be facilitated by way of a Voluntary Planning Agreement. Notwithstanding, spot rezonings are routinely delegated to Council and, although part of the land is proposed to be dedicated to Council, it is consistent with the Central Coast Regional Strategy, the North Wyong Shire Structure Plan and Council's Settlement Strategy which was conditionally endorsed by the then Director General.
	In this instance it is considered appropriate to issue authorisation and delegate plan making functions to Council to make the Plan.
Overall adequacy o	f the proposal
Does the proposal mee	et the adequacy criteria? Yes
If No, comment :	The proposal is adequate for Gateway determination. However, the proposal will require updating prior to community consultation for a number of matters which will be identified in the conditions of the Gateway determination.
oposal Assessment	The second second and the second
Principal LEP:	
Due Date :	
Comments in relation to Principal LEP :	The plan will amend Wyong LEP 2013. Under the LEP, the site is currently zoned part E2 Environmental Conservation and part RU6 Transition.
Assessment Criteri	
	a
Need for planning proposal :	The Council has identified that the proposal is not the result of a strategic study or report. However, it is clear that the proposal responds to, and is consistent with, the following
	The Council has identified that the proposal is not the result of a strategic study or report.
	The Council has identified that the proposal is not the result of a strategic study or report. However, it is clear that the proposal responds to, and is consistent with, the following strategic documents: - Central Coast Regional Strategy 2008; - North Wyong Shire Structure Plan 2012; and

Consistency with strategic planning	CENTRAL COAST REGIONAL STRATEGY (2008) & NORTH WYONG STRUCTURE PLAN (2012 The subject site is identified in the North Wyong Shire Structure Plan (NWSSP) as 'medium
framework :	term' urban release area located at Wadalba East. The site is identified as being within
	'Precinct 3A' which earmarks the land to be zoned for residential purposes and associates
	the western boundary of the site as also facilitating local conservation links. The proposal satisfies the minimum dwelling density target of 15 dwellings per hectare. The proposal is
	considered to be consistent with the Central Coast Regional Strategy and is consistent with
	the supporting NWSSP.
	CENTRAL COAST REGIONAL PLAN (OCTOBER 2016)
	The proposal does not address consistency with the recently released regional plan.
	However, the proposal is considered generally consistent with the plan. Notwithstanding, it
	is recommended that Council update the planning proposal prior to public exhibition to ensure consideration is given to the new regional plan.
	COUNCIL'S WYONG SETTLEMENT STRATEGY
	The proposal is consistent with the strategy which was conditionally endorsed by the then
	Director General on 17 September 2013. The strategy identifies the site as a medium term future residential development and reaffirms the intent of the NWSSP for the site.
	WYONG DEVELOPMENT CONTROL PLAN 2013
	The site is also identified by the Wyong Development Control Plan 2013 (WDCP) Chapter
	6.17 as forming part of Warnervale East and Wadalba North West Urban Release Area. The
	WDCP confirms that the site contains an 'environmental corridor' along the western part of the site and a future shared path and local road cutting through the north-eastern corner of
	the site.The proposal is consistent with Council's WDCP.
	COUNCIL'S COMMUNITY STRATEGIC PLAN
	Council has identified that the proposal is generally in accordance with its community strategic plan.
	STATE ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING POLICIES (SEPPS)
	The proposal is consistent with all relevant SEPPs except as identified below. Further
	consideration and assessment is recommended as part of the Gateway in relation to: - SEPP 55 Remediation of land - Council is to ensure that the site is suitable for rezoning to
	the proposed zone and to include its assessment as part of the public exhibition material.
	S117 DIRECTIONS
	The proposal is consistent with all relevant s117 Directions, except as identified below.
	The proposal is inconsistent with:
	- 1.2 Rural zones - however the inconsistency is justified by an endorsed strategy.
	Further consideration and assessment is required to adequately demonstrate consistency following consultation or to justify any inconsistency with the following S117 Directions:
	- 1.3 Mining, Petroleum and Extraction Industries (consultation is necessary with
	Department of Primary Industries - Resources and Energy);
	<ul> <li>- 2.1 Environment Protection Zones (consultation with Office of Environment and Heritage)</li> <li>- 4.2 Mine Subsidence and Unstable Land (consultation required with the Mines</li> </ul>
	- 4.2 mine Subsidence and Onstable Land (consultation required with the mines Subsidence Board); and
	<ul> <li>- 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection (consultation is required with NSW Rural Fire Service).</li> </ul>
Environmental social economic impacts :	ENVIRONMENTAL The site contains an existing area of E2 Environmental Conservation zoned land on the
somornio impacio .	western boundary identified as a 'local conservation link' and part of the Wadalba Wildlife
	Corridor. The proposal secures the corridor and retains, and slightly extends, the existing conservation zone boundary.
	The Ecology Panert supporting the proposal identifies that the proposal is unlikely to cause

The Ecology Report supporting the proposal identifies that the proposal is unlikely to cause

a significant reduction in the functionality or utilisation of the Wadalba Wildlife Corridor and associated local conservation links. Recommendations are provided in the report regarding the future management and improvement of the corridor. The Wadalba Wildlife Corridor Management Plan (WWCMP) prepared by Conacher Travers in September 2006 is adopted to protect the long-term ecological value of land within the Wadalba Wildlife Corridor. The proponent's Ecology Report considers that the proposal is consistent with the objectives and actions of the WWCMP.

The Ecology Report identifies that the proposal is unlikely to have a significant impact on any threatened species, populations or endangered ecological communities. Therefore the report identifies that a Species Impact Statement is not required. The report also identifies that vegetation community identified within the subject site is not consistent with any endangered ecological community listed under relevant legislation. Further, no threatened flora species and no critical habitat listed under the critical habitat register were identified on site. Council's ecologist has endorsed the proponent's Ecology Report.

The proposal is supported by a Vegetation Management Plan which identifies the corridor will be disturbed and subject to some earthworks and some vegetation clearing during the installation of services and development of the land, including during rehabilitation of the of the quarry area. However, this impact will be mitigated through vegetation management strategies and priorities for the protection and rehabilitation of the environmental corridor.

The proposal is supported by an Aboriginal Heritage Assessment which identifies that no Aboriginal cultural or archaeological sites have been identified on site.

Council's documents suggest that the proposal raises no concerns regarding natural resources, flooding or drainage issues.

The site is identified as bush fire prone land containing Category 1 vegetation. The proposal is supported by a Bushfire Protection Assessment and Council has negotiated a subdivision design that responds to the environmental issues, slope of the land and manages the bush fire risk for future dwellings on site.

The proposal is supported by a Stage 1 Preliminary Site Investigation report identifying some evidence of previous quarrying or filling and a site history consistent with minor potential contamination. The report concludes that the site would be generally compatible with proposed residential use. However, the report suggests that further investigations into the sites contamination status could include investigation of site soils for chemical or physical characteristics. For example the report suggests an assessment of soils on a broad grid, including targeted sampling of areas of concern (i.e. areas of filling, disturbance/and poultry sheds). It is recommended that the Gateway require Council to ensure the site is suitable for future residential use, prior to finalising the rezoning, as required by SEPP 55.

The site is identified as land within the Swansea - North Entrance Mine Subsidence District and consultation with the Mine Subsidence Board is required by s117 direction 4.2 Mine Subsidence and Unstable Land.

#### ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL

The proposal will provide additional housing for the region and will facilitate operational and construction related jobs during the subdivision and subsequent development of the land. The proposal will also trigger additional social infrastructure in the area, including additional local road connections and cycle and pedestrian pathway links.

The proposal is supported by a Traffic Assessment which suggests that the proposed residential subdivision development (19 lots) will not have a significant impact on traffic operations or traffic safety of the adjacent Louisiana/Wahroonga Road. Further, the subdivision facilitates the expansion of Van Stappen Road across the north-eastern corner of the site.

The planning proposal refers to the former use of the site for chicken sheds (poultry farming) and others have operated in the vicinity. Consultation with the Department of Primary Industries (Agriculture) is recommended to ensure there are no potential land use conflicts.

#### Assessment Process

Proposal type :	Routine	Community Consultation Period :	28 Days			
Timeframe to make LEP :	12 months	Delegation :	RPA			
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2)(d) :	Office of Environment and Heritage NSW Department of Primary Industries - Agriculture NSW Department of Primary Industries - Minerals and Petroleum Mine Subsidence Board Transport for NSW - Roads and Maritime Services					
Is Public Hearing by the	PAC required? No	)				
(2)(a) Should the matter	proceed ? Ye	95				
If no, provide reasons : The matter should proceed subject to the conditions of the Gateway determination.						
Resubmission - s56(2)(b	) : <b>No</b>					
If Yes, reasons :						
Identify any additional studies, if required.						
If Other, provide reasons	<b>3</b>					
Identify any internal cons	sultations, if required :					
No internal consultation	n required					
Is the provision and fund	ling of state infrastructure rel	evant to this plan? Yes				
If Yes, reasons :		ling on the basis of strategic merit uired to service the proposal and r				
	the land should be inclu	ing Team (Developer Contribution ded on Council's Urban Release A ary State and regional infrastructu	rea LEP map to allow for			

#### Documents

Document File Name	DocumentType Name	Is Public
01. Gateway Request - Letter from Council.pdf	Proposal Covering Letter	Yes
02. Planning Proposal.pdf	Proposal	Yes
02. Supporting Document - Section 01-Assessment and Endorsement#2.pdf	Proposal	Yes
02. Supporting Document - Section 02 - Land Use Provisions#2.pdf	Proposal	Yes
02. Supporting Document - Section 04 - Mapping#2.pdf	Мар	Yes
02. Supporting Document - Section 05-A_Response site inspection 01 09 2016 - Plans - 165 Louisiana Road Wadalba.pdf	Proposal	Yes

02. Supporting Document - Section 05-B_Vegetation Management Plan VMP .pdf	Study	Yes
02. Supporting Document - Section 05-C_Vegetation Management Plan VMP .pdf	Study	Yes
02. Supporting Document - Section 05-D_Bushfire Protection assessmentpdf	Study	Yes
02. Supporting Document - Section 05-E_Report Preliminary Site Investigation Contamination - Proposed Rezoningpdf	Study	Yes
02. Supporting Document - Section 05-Fi_Ecological Assessmentpdf	Study	Yes
02. Supporting Document - Section 05-Fii_Addendum_Target orchid surveypdf	Study	Yes
02. Supporting Document - Section 05-G_Archeological Assessmentpdf	Study	Yes

#### Planning Team Recommendation

Preparation of the planning proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions

S.117 directions:	1.2 Rural Zones
	1.3 Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries
	2.1 Environment Protection Zones
	2.3 Heritage Conservation
	2.4 Recreation Vehicle Areas
	3.1 Residential Zones
	3.2 Caravan Parks and Manufactured Home Estates
	3.3 Home Occupations
	3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport
	4.2 Mine Subsidence and Unstable Land
	4.3 Flood Prone Land
	4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection
	5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies
	6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements
	6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes
	6.3 Site Specific Provisions
Ч • с на с на с на с на с	
Additional Information:	S117 Direction 5.10 Implementation of Regional Plans
	Proceed subject to the following conditions:
	1. Prior to undertaking public exhibition, Council is to update the planning proposal to:
	Include discussion and justification for the proposed SP2 Infrastructure zone, giving
	consideration to LEP Practice Note PN10-001 'Zoning for Infrastructure in LEPs' and of th
	suitability of applying adjoining existing or proposed zones, being RE1 Public Recreation
	or R2 Low Density Residential;
	Identify the land as Urban Release Area on the Wyong LEP 2013 Urban Release Area
	Map;
	Update the proposed maps subject to the further investigation above, ensuring maps
	are provided at an appropriate scale and size to clearly identify the proposed land
	zoning, urban release area, and minimum lot size; and
	<ul> <li>Include consideration of the Central Coast Regional Plan, given its recent release in</li> </ul>
	October 2016.
	2. Council is to update the planning proposal to include sufficient additional information
	to adequately demonstrate consistency following consultation or to justify any

Area - Frecinct SA)	
	2.1 Environment Protection Zones;
	<ul> <li>4.2 Mine Subsidence and Unstable Land;</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection; and</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>5.10 Implementation of Regional Plans.</li> </ul>
	3. Council is to ensure that the planning proposal satisfies the requirements of State
	Environmental Planning Policy (SEPP) 55 – Remediation of Land. Council is to ensure
	that a site contamination investigation demonstrates that the site is suitable for rezoning
	to the proposed zone. This investigation is to be included as part of the public exhibition
	material.
	4. Community consultation is required under sections 56(2)(c) and 57 of the Act as
	follows:
	(a) the planning proposal must be made publicly available for a minimum of 28 days;
	and
	(b) the relevant planning authority must comply with the notice requirements for public
	exhibition of planning proposals and the specifications for material that must be made
	publicly available along with planning proposals as identified in section 5.5.2 of A Guide
	to preparing local environmental plans (Department of Planning and Environment 2016).
	•• •• •• •• •• •• •• •• •• •• •• •• ••
	5. Consultation is required with the following public authorities under section 56(2)(d) of
	the Act and/or to comply with the requirements of relevant S117 Directions:
	Department of Primary Industries - Agriculture
	Department of Industry - Resources and Energy
	Darkinjung Local Aboriginal Land Council
	Guringai Tribal Link
	Mine Subsidence Board
	NSW Rural Fire Service
	Office of Environment and Heritage
	Roads and Maritime Services
	Each public authority is to be provided with a copy of the planning proposal and any
	relevant supporting material, and given at least 21 days to comment on the proposal.
	······································
	6. A public hearing is not required to be held into the matter by any person or body
	under section 56(2)(e) of the Act. This does not discharge Council from any obligation it
	may otherwise have to conduct a public hearing (for example, in response to a
	submission or if reclassifying land).
	The timeframe for completing the LEP is to be 12 months from the week following the
	date of the Gateway determination
Supporting Dessen-	*
Supporting Reasons :	
	1011 11
	g Morking
Signature:	
	GPHOPKINS Data: 1/11/2016
Printed Name:	G 7 A07 X 7A Date: 1/11/2018

*	